Observations of the bora-wind turbulence using the hot-wire anemometer



¹Željko Večenaj, ¹Damir Ptičar, ²Hrvoje Hegeduš, ³Goran Lončar, ³Goran Gjetvaj and ¹Branko Grisogono

¹Andrija Mohorovičić Geophysical Institute, Department of Geophysics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Croatia

²Department of Fundamentals of Electrical Engineering and Measurements, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb, Croatia

³Water Research Department, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Zagreb, Croatia

<u>zvecenaj@gfz.hr</u>

I. INTRODUCTION

- BORA: a strong downslope windstorm that blows at the E Adriatic coast from the NE quadrant
- Smith (1987): Hydraulic nature of the mean bora flow
- The mean wind speed may reach 30 m s⁻¹
- Due to the gustiness wind speed maxima my surpass 60 m s⁻¹

OBJECTIVE:

To estimate the *TKE* dissipation rate, ε

 \rightarrow "cheapest" way to do it is using ultrasonic anemometer data and the Inertial Dissipation Method (IDM):

$$S_u(k) = \alpha \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}} k^{\frac{-5}{3}} \rightarrow \text{Taylor's hypothesis} \rightarrow$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2\pi}{\overline{U}} \left[\frac{f^{5/3} S_u(f)}{\alpha} \right]^{3/2}$$

How reliable is this approach for bora?

* The hot-wire anemometer (HWA) \rightarrow direct method for estimation of ε :

$$\epsilon = 15 \nu \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2}$$

Taylor's hypothesis + Heskestad-Lumley correction for the streamwise derivative:

$$\epsilon = \frac{15\nu}{U^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{\overline{u^2}}{U^2} + 2\frac{\overline{v^2} + \overline{w^2}}{U^2}\right)^{-1}$$

 $v = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$kinematic viscosity

↔ How fast do we need to sample the bora wind speed with the HWA? →
→ Kolmogorov's microscale η → size of the dissipative eddies:

$$f_{K} = \frac{U}{2\pi\eta}$$
Kolmogorov's frequency \rightarrow Nyquist frequency

Piper and Lundquist (2004):

For direct dissipation calculations, all scales that experience dissipation must be resolved. These scales include eddies at the Kolmogorov microscale η , which is given by

$$\eta = (\nu^3/\epsilon)^{1/4},\tag{2}$$

where ν is kinematic molecular viscosity. During the frontal passage, η reached a minimum value of approximately 0.25 mm. The frequency required to resolve

- How did they calculate η ? \rightarrow No information about ε (not yet)!
- If we take their value of $\eta = 0.25$ mm and extreme mean bora wind speed of 30 m s⁻¹:

$$f_{K} = \frac{0}{2\pi\eta} \quad \Rightarrow f_{K} \approx 20\ 000\ \text{Hz} \quad \Rightarrow f_{S} \approx 40\ 000\ \text{Hz}$$

• Our ultimate goal \rightarrow to sample bora with $f_S \approx 50\ 000\ \text{Hz}$

II. INSTRUMENTS, LOCATION AND DATA

- HWA: Dantec Dynamics multichannel CTA (Constant Temperature Anemometer) system
- The original software can continuously record only $8 \cdot 10^6$ samples $\rightarrow 160$ s intervals with $f_s \approx 50\ 000$ Hz \rightarrow problem!
- Guys from the Faculty of Electrical Engineering (FEE guys) wrote a new software → problem solved!
- The original DAQ card cannot register changes in the hot wire voltage if the *f_S* > 10 000 Hz → *f_K* = 5 000 Hz → *U*_{max} below 10 m s⁻¹ →
 → weak to moderate bora
- Gill WindMaster Pro ultrasonic anemometer











III. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

■ In situ calibration of *E*_{HWA} to *U*_{USA} on 5-s intervals using King's law:

 $E^2 = a + bU^n$

n = 0.45







V. SUMMARY

- Indications that IDM might be useful for bora
- Higher sampling rate is needed to cover greater wind speeds \rightarrow
 - → FEE guys provided a better DAQ card and we have measured bora using $f_S \approx 50\ 000\ \text{Hz}$



Discussion is opened!